**MAGENTA ABM ODD+D**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | Guiding questions | Our model |
| 1. Overview | | I.i Purpose | I.i.a What is the purpose of the study? | Method comparison (ABM vs. multi-objective landscape optimization), analysis of policy instruments and their influence on landscape configurations |
| I.i.b For whom is the model designed? | Scientists |
| I.ii Entities, state variables and scales | I.ii.a What kinds of entities are in the model? | Plots, farmers, virtual landscape |
| I.ii.b By what attributes (i.e. state variables and parameters) are these entities characterised? | **Farmers**: ownership of plots, total yield from owned plots, income, income threshold,  **Plots**: location, owner (farmer), soil fertility, proximity to river, land cover (river, intensive grassland or extensive grassland), number of neighbouring extensive plots, profit potential given management options, yield, realized profit  **Environment**: soil fertility distribution (Gaussian), sinusoidal river along east–west axis |
| I.ii.c What are the exogenous factors / drivers of the model? | Level of payments (payment for extensive grassland, agglomeration bonus, bonus for extensive grassland along river), design of water quality bonus, relative productivity intensive vs extensive grassland |
| I.ii.d If applicable, how is space included in the model? | GIS (virtual landscape), raster files |
| I.ii.e What are the temporal and spatial resolutions and extents of the model? | Yearly time steps, 100 years, grassland allocation decisions are made once a year; one grid cell represents one plot, model landscape comprises 15x15 cells, up to 10 farms (with randomly assigned plots) |
| I.iii Process overview and scheduling | I.iii.a What entity does what, and in what order? | 1. Initialization: import raster files and translate them into patch attributes; allocate patches to farms  2. Check income threshold reached: if farmer’s income is above threshold, no further changes are made  3. Potential profit calculation: calculate potential profit for each plot (=patch) (intensive & extensive) given current land allocation and including base payment and boni  4. Allocation: allocate management to a limited number of plots (extensive vs intensive)  5. Yield calculation: calculate each plot’s yield given allocation  6. Agglomeration: check how many neighbouring plots are managed extensively  7. Reception of payments: calculate payments received by each plot  8. Calculation of income: calculate total yield and income for each farm  9. Calculation of agri-environmental payment budget  10. Evaluate ES: translate landscape configuration into ES realizations (R models) |
| 1. Design Concepts | | II.i Theoretical and Empirical Background | II.i.a Which general concepts, theories or hypotheses are underlying the model’s design at the system level or at the level(s) of the submodel(s) (apart from the decision model)? What is the link to complexity and the purpose of the model? | It’s a relatively simple model trying to show that for heterogeneous landscapes, you need spatially differentiated incentives. The farmers’ behaviour is boundedly rational in a very simple sense (income threshold). |
| II.i.b On what assumptions is/are the agents’ decision model(s) based? | Simple microeconomic model with minimal bounded rationality: below threshold income maximizing, myopic farmers; above threshold continuation of last chosen strategy (i.e. management allocation pattern). |
| II.i.c Why is a/are certain decision model(s) chosen? | Simplicity. |
| II.i.d If the model / a submodel (e.g. the decision model) is based on empirical data, where does the data come from? | NA |
| II.i.e At which level of aggregation were the data available? | NA |
| II.ii Individual Decision Making | II.ii.a What are the subjects and objects of decision-making? On which level of aggregation is decision-making modeled? Are multiple levels of decision making included? | Subjects: farmers / Objects: management (extensive or intensive grassland) on plot level  Farmers decide on plot-level |
| II.ii.b What is the basic rationality behind agents’ decision-making in the model? Do agents pursue an explicit objective or have other success criteria? | Income maximization up to threshold |
| II.ii.c How do agents make their decisions? | Income function |
| II.ii.d Do the agents adapt their behavior to changing endogenous and exogenous state variables? And if yes, how? | NA |
| II.ii.e Do social norms or cultural values play a role in the decision-making process? | NA |
| II.ii.f Do spatial aspects play a role in the decision process? | Agglomeration bonus and bonus for extensive grassland in proximity to river are dependent on spatial patterns (and play a role in farmers’ decisions) |
| II.ii.g Do temporal aspects play a role in the decision process? | NA |
| II.ii.h To which extent and how is uncertainty included in the agents’ decision rules? | Agents do not know how other agents will decide in the current period, they only know the allocation in the last period |
| II.iii Learning | II.iii.a Is individual learning included in the decision process? How do individuals change their decision rules over time as consequence of their experience? | None |
| II.iii.b Is collective learning implemented in the model? | None |
| II.iv Individual Sensing | II.iv.a What endogenous and exogenous state variables are individuals assumed to sense and consider in their decisions? Is the sensing process erroneous? | Payment rates, soil fertility, land-use allocation in last period; no errors |
| II.iv.b What state variables of which other individuals can an individual perceive? Is the sensing process erroneous? | Land-use allocation in last period; no errors |
| II.iv.c What is the spatial scale of sensing? | Local (neighbouring plots) |
| II.iv.d Are the mechanisms by which agents obtain information modeled explicitly, or are individuals simply assumed to know these variables? | Not modelled. |
| II.iv.e Are costs for cognition and costs for gathering information inclu­ded in the model? | Not explicitly; implicitly, cognitive burden is the reason for income threshold beyond which farmers cease to make new decisions |
| II.v Individual Prediction | II.v.a Which data uses the agent to predict future conditions? | Extrapolation from last period |
| II.v.b What internal models are agents assumed to use to estimate future conditions or consequences of their decisions? | NA |
| II.v.c Might agents be erroneous in the prediction process, and how is it implemented? | Since they only consider neighbouring plots, they cannot take into account reactions of other farmers to changes in land allocation farther away |
| II.vi Interaction | II.vi.a Are interactions among agents and entities assumed as direct or indirect? | Indirect |
| II.vi.b On what do the interactions depend? | Spatial distances (neighborhood) |
| II.vi.c If the interactions involve communication, how are such communications represented? | NA |
| II.vi.d If a coordination network exists, how does it affect the agent behaviour? Is the structure of the network imposed or emergent? | NA |
| II.vii Collectives | II.vii.a Do the individuals form or belong to aggregations that affect, and are affected by, the individuals? Are these aggregations imposed by the modeller or do they emerge during the simulation? | NA |
| II.vii.b How are collectives represented? | NA |
| II.viii Heterogeneity | II.viii.a Are the agents heterogeneous? If yes, which state variables and/or processes differ between the agents? | In one variant of the model (where BOUNDED-RATIONALITY = “heterogeneity”), they have different income thresholds. |
| II.viii.b Are the agents heterogeneous in their decision-making? If yes, which decision models or decision objects differ between the agents? | See above. |
| II.ix Stochasticity | II.ix.a What processes (including initialization) are modeled by assuming they are random or partly random? | In one variant of the model (where BOUNDED-RATIONALITY = “heterogeneity”), farmers’ income threshold are generated randomly. Also, the assignment of plots to farmers is random. In another model variant (where PERSISTENCE = “random”), the plots on which farmers are allowed to change management are chosen randomly. |
| II.x Observation | II.x.a What data are collected from the ABM for testing, understanding, and analyzing it, and how and when are they collected? | The land-use allocation is translated in a measure of biodiversity (based on configuration of extensive grassland plots) and water quality (based on proximity of extensive/intensive grassland plots from river); grass production is calculated by summing the production of each plot; also, the budget needed to finance the agri-environmental payments is calculated. |
| II.x.b What key results, outputs or characteristics of the model are emerging from the individuals? (Emergence) | Equilibrium landscape pattern |
| 1. Details | | II.i Implementation Details | III.i.a How has the model been implemented? | Windows 10, Netlogo 6.0.4, R 3.5.1 |
| III.i.b Is the model accessible and if so where? | To be updated |
| III.ii Initialization | III.ii.a What is the initial state of the model world, i.e. at time t=0 of a simulation run? | Landscape imported from raster files (allocation pattern of management + soil fertility gradient), 10 farmers with randomly distributed plots and (variant) randomly assigned income thresholds. |
| III.ii.b Is initialization always the same, or is it allowed to vary among simulations? | Distribution of plots among farmers is random, but does not have influence on results under current model structure. Income thresholds are always dependent on mean income from initialized landscape, and as such vary among simulations. |
| III.ii.c Are the initial values chosen arbitrarily or based on data? | Arbitrarily. |
|  | III.iii Input Data | III.iii.a Does the model use input from external sources such as data files or other models to represent processes that change over time? | Landscape (raster files). |
| III.iv Submodels | III.iv.a What, in detail, are the submodels that represent the processes listed in ‘Process overview and scheduling’? | Yield model, habitat index model, water quality model, budget calculation. |
| III.iv.b What are the model parameters, their dimensions and reference values? | Tables of parameters |
| III.iv.c How were submodels designed or chosen, and how were they parameterized and then tested? | Based on literature, highly stylized. |